FINAL REPORT

EVALUATION OF THE MAINE SCHOOL AND LIBRARY NETWORK

FALL 1997/WINTER 1998

Final Report For:

Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State Street State House Station 18 Augusta, Maine 04333

Prepared By:

The Maine School and Library Network Bell Atlantic Project Staff

Under Direction of: The Maine School and Library Network Advisory Board

March 31 1998

MAINE SCHOOL AND LIBRARY NETWORK SUMMARY OF 1997 FALL SURVEY

INTRODUCTION: In late October of 1997 the Maine School and Library Network (MSLN) Advisory Board distributed a survey to the 1138 schools and libraries connected to the network at that time. The objective was to receive information from the participants on their experiences and opinions related to the MSLN.

The Board also was interested in the viewpoints of teachers and technical coordinators at each school so a survey was sent to a teacher, a technical coordinator, and a principal at each of the 747 schools that were part of the group choosing the standard connection. The head librarian at each of the 277 libraries that received a standard connection received a survey specifically designed for libraries. In total 2518 surveys were sent to sites participating with a standard 56k frame relay connection.

As part of the MSLN initiative schools and libraries were given the opportunity to use an alternative to the standard connection. This approach to connection is referred to as Alternative Equivalent Value (AEV). Because the AEV sites were connected in a different manner, the questions asked of them were different than the standard group. Each of the 114 schools and libraries that chose AEV were sent these special surveys.

In the third week of November 1997, each of the sites that had not responded yet were contacted by postcard to remind them to return their surveys.

RESPONSES TO DATE: As of March 16, 1998 the response to these surveys has been very encouraging with over 50% of the sites having completed and returned at least one survey. Following is a spread sheet depicting the level of response in each category.

Standard Group:	Responses	Total Sent	Percent
Principals	347	747	46.5%
Librarians	209	277	75.5%
Teachers	279	747	37.3%
Tech Coord.	296	747	39.6%
AEV Group:	Responses	Total Sent	Percent
Schools	47	91	51.6%
Libraries	8	23	34.8%
Total Sites Represented	l 611	1138	53.7%

COMPARISONS OF SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES BEFORE AND AFTER THE MSLN PROJECT:

One of the items of interest was the impact of providing a network connection for these sites. Therefore, each set of surveys had questions pertaining to what each location had for connections and equipment prior to the project, what they had now and what they expected they would have by the year 2000. Based on the surveys from 53.7% of the connected sites we know the following:

- 7,654 computers are connected today that were not connected before.
- 9,426 computers in total are connected to MSLN and the Internet.
- 15,058 computers are planned for Internet connection by the year 2000.

Although we have not tried to predict the actual numbers for the remaining sites that have not responded, it is

very evident that the total number of computer connected to the Internet for all schools and libraries would be significantly higher than those listed above.

- 153 libraries (75.7% of responders to this question) had no Internet before but have a connection today.
- 197 schools (53.7% of responders to this question) had no Internet before but have a connection today.
- 2,924 school and library personnel have received some form of Internet training.

THE MSLN NETWORK'S IMPACT ON THE SCHOOL OR LIBRARY:

- 97.8% of the schools reported that the MSLN was either very important or somewhat important in the enhancement of their curriculum.
- 90.6% of the schools reported they would be using the connection more in the future.
- 97.8% of the libraries that had an opinion stated that the Internet improved their ability to answer reference questions completely, very much or somewhat.
- 68% of the libraries reported that they had experienced an increase in usage of the library by patrons since their connection.
- Libraries reported that the MSLN connection is in use on average 21.2 hours a week or 66.5% of the time the library is open.

WHAT IS THEIR LEVEL OF SATISFACTION AND WHAT DO THEY PLAN:

- 96.1% of the Principals reported that they were completely or somewhat satisfied with the MSLN.
- 88.7% of the teachers said that they would be using the connection more in the future.
- 97.3% of the Head Librarians reported that they were completely or somewhat satisfied with their MSLN connection.
- 72.3% of the libraries reported that they expected to use the Internet connection more in the future.

TOP FIVE RESPONSES FOR QUESTIONS THAT ASKED FOR WRITTEN RESPONSES:

There were four questions on the surveys that called for the responding person to make written comments or suggestions. Following is a description of each question and a summarization of the top five responses in each category:

- 1. All people who indicated that they were planning on using the MSLN more were asked "What additional applications, services/classes, or programs do you plan to use it for?" Libraries were asked about additional services and schools were asked about additional classes. The top responses based on number of occurrences could fit into the following categories:
 - Research
 - More e-mail, Pen Pals
 - More Internet
 - More classroom use/ instruction/ implementation
 - Curriculum based instruction/ development

TOP FIVE RESPONSES CONTINUED:

- 1. All categories of people surveyed were asked: "What changes would you suggest in the training?" The top five responses based on the most occurrences fit into the following categories:
 - Provide follow-up (questions, new information)
 - Spend more time on the subjects
 - "More training"
 - Offer again (turnover/more staff)
 - Positive Comments
- 1. Technical Coordinators and Head Librarians were asked: "Have there been any problems in using the MSLN since its installation?" "What problems?" The top five responses based on the most occurrences fit into the following categories:
 - E-mail problems
 - Local problems
 - Multiple user problems
 - On-line access
 - Freeze/lock-up
- 1. All categories of people were asked to provide further comments. The question was phrased "Please use this space for any additional comments or feedback you may want to provide." The top five responses based on the most occurrences fit into the following categories:
 - Positive Comments (twice as many as any other response)
 - Follow up needed
 - More speed or perception of slow
 - More training
 - Current funding

The information provided above is a summary of some of the key questions and comments on the surveys and gives an indication of how people view the MSLN project. There were many other questions on the individual surveys and more detail reports are available under the subsections for each survey category. The reports under each section are based on an accumulation of actual answers to the survey questions. We have not tried to statistically predict what the responses would be for the total population of the user base. We instead have provided the actual totals so that the reader can make their own assessment. We have however, used the written responses to allow project staff members to follow up individually with sites that were having problems, expressed concerns or had questions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
Executive Summary	ii
Table of Contents	V
Principal Survey Results	1
Technical Coordinator Survey Results	3
Teacher Survey Results	6
AEV School Survey Results	8
Head Librarian Survey Results	11
AEV Head Librarian Survey Results	15
Table of Responses and Examples for More Use	18
Table of Responses and Examples of Suggestions	
for Training Improvement	20
Table of Responses and Examples of	
Problems Experienced	22
Table of Responses and Examples of	
Additional Comments	24
Principal Questionaire	26
Technical Coordinator Questionaire	28
Teacher Questionaire	30
Head Librarian Questionaire	32
AEV School Questionaire	35
AEV Librarian Questionaire	37

1997 MSLN Survey

Results

PRINCIPAL QUESTIONNAIRE

as of 3/16/98

with 347 questionnaires returned from 747 sent (46.5%)

all averages are of the number who answered that question all percentages are of the number who answered that question

The ratio of students to computers available for student use is reported as 94,121 students to 11,958 computers = 7.9 to 1

Principals reported that they are using the MSLN connection an average of 3.5 hours per week.

The following percent of principals described their satisfaction with MSLN as:

Completely Satisfied	45.2%	(142)
Somewhat Satisfied	35.4%	(111)
Somewhat Dissatisfied	3.2%	(10)
Completely Dissatisfied	0%	(0)
Don't Know	16.2%	(51)
total=347 - 33 blanks=bas	se of 314	

8531 computers are reported as capable of Internet access, an average of 25.9.

792 computers are reported as connected to the Internet prior to MSLN, an average of 2.4.

7098 computers are reported as currrently connected to the Internet, an average of 21.4.

11,428 computers are planned for an Internet connection by 2000, an average of 43.5.

2660 classrooms are reported currently connected to the Internet, an average of 8.2.

4850 classrooms are planned for an Internet connection by 2000, an average of 16.3.

The following average numbers were reported as using MSLN Internet connection on a daily basis:

Students	25.5
Teachers	37.7
Administrators	94.9
Others	21.8

The following numbers were reported as receiving training on use of MSLN Internet connection:

Principal	141
Other school administrative staff	127
Technical Coordinator	228
Teachers	1790
Others	58
No one	20
Don't Know	19

The following percentage answered whether training was sufficient to teach others:

	c_1	C	
Yes		40.7%	(120)
No		13.5%	(40)
Did not re	eceive	45.8%	(135)
total=347	7 - 52 blan	ks=base of 295	

The following number of principals reported future plans for MSLN as:

Same 30 More 300 Less 1 blank 16

The following percentages reported their view of the importance of MSLN in the enhancement of their school's future curriculum

Very important	66.0%	(213)
Somewhat Important	31.9%	(103)
Neither important nor unimportant	1.8%	(6)
Somewhat unimportant	0%	(0)
Not at all important	0.3%	(1)
1 247 2411 1 1 6222		

total=347 - 24 blanks=base of 323

The following were perceived as the greatest challenge to providing effective access to MSLN (in order of numbers reported)

a-Training teachers	224
1-Funding concerns after 2000	122
g-Computers accessible in every classroom	117
h-Acquiring additional computers	111
e-Lack of technical personnel	108
b-Training students	78
j-Time constraints preventing learning technology	75
f-Curriculum development	72
d-Managing local area network	55
c-Computer security	36
i-Internet access policy issues	18
k-Upgrading to recent versions of Internet	8
software	

1997 MSLN Survey

Results

TECHNICAL COORDINATOR QUESTIONNAIRE

as of 3/16/98

with 296 questionnaires returned from 747 sent (39.6%)

all averages are of the number who answered that question all percentages are of the number who answered that question

The following percent of technical coordinators described their satisfaction with MSLN as:

Completely Satisfied	58.8%	(160)
Somewhat Satisfied	35.3%	(96)
Somewhat Dissatisfied	1.5%	(4)
Completely Dissatisfied	0%	(0)
Don't Know	4.4%	(12)
1 206 2411 1 1	0.0.70	

total=296 - 24 *blanks*=*base of* 272

The following average numbers were reported as using MSLN Internet connection on a daily basis:

Students	28.2
Teachers	38.8
Administrators	47.1
Others	17.5

9801 computers are reported as currently available for student use.

7501 computers are reported as capable of connecting to the Internet, an average of 26.5.

635 computers are reported as connected to the Internet prior to MSLN, an average of 2.3.

6652 computers are reported as currrently connected to the Internet, an average of 23.6.

The following applications were reported as being used, on the number of computers indicated:

E-mail	5032
World Wide Web	5435
Data Sharing	2520
FTP	1173
Other	203

The following numbers were reported as receiving training on use of MSLN Internet connection

Principal	35
Other school administrative staff	24
Technical Coordinator	183
Teachers	343
Others	28
No one	25
Don't Know	9

The following percentage answered whether training was sufficient to set up the site to utilize the MSLN connection:

Yes	72.8%	(193)
No	9.4%	(25)
Did not receive	17.7%	(47)
total=296 - 31 blanks=	=base of 26	55

The following number of technical coordinators reported future plans for MSLN use as

 Same
 34

 More
 242

 Less
 2

 blank
 18

The following elements of the MSLN received the listed ratings by the noted percentage of people answering this part of the question.

total=296-34	blanks=base	of 262
ししんしー ムンロースエ	viulins-vuse	01 202

totat=290-34	bianks=base of 202		
software easy	Excellent	45.0%	(118)
	Satisfactory	33.6%	(88)
	Fair	6.5%	(17)
	Unsatisfactory	1.5%	(4)
	Don't Know	13.4%	(35)
total=296-35	blanks=base of 261		
clear documen	tation Excellent	29.	5% (77)
	Satisfactory	41.	4% (108)
	Fair	13.	0% (34)
	Unsatisfactory	3.	8% (10)
	Don't Know	12.	3% (32)
total=296-36	blanks=base of 260		
software perfo	rmanceExcellent	35.	8% (93)
	Satisfactory	44.	2% (115)
	Fair	11.	5% (30)
	Unsatisfactory	2.	7% (7)
	Don't Know	5.	8% (15)
total=296-29	blanks=base of 267		
system downti	me Excellent	44.	6% (119)
	Satisfactory	36.	3% (97)
	Fair	11.	6% (31)
	Unsatisfactory	3.	4% (9)
	Don't Know	4.	1% (11)
total=296-28	blanks=base of 268		
easy access	Excellent	49.6%	(133)
	Satisfactory	37.3%	(100)
	Fair	9.7%	(26)
	Unsatisfactory	1.5%	(4)
	Don't Know	1.9%	(5)

total=296-38 blanks=base of 258			
staff helpful	Excellent	61.2%	(158)
	Satisfactory	24.0%	(62)
	Fair	3.9%	(10)
	Unsatisfactory	0.8%	(2)
-	Don't Know	10.1%	(26)
total=296-27 bl	anks=base of 269		
speed	Excellent	27.9%	(75)
	Satisfactory	38.3%	(103)
	Fair	23.8%	(64)
	Unsatisfactory	6.7%	(18)
	Don't Know	3.3%	(9)

198 technical coordinators reported calling for added technical support, and 92.9% (184) said they were satisfied.

95 technical coordinators (32.1% of those answering) reported problems using MSLN since its installation.

1997 MSLN Survey Results

TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

as of 3/16/98

with 279 questionnaires returned from 747 sent (37.3%)

all averages are of the number who answered that question all percentages are of the number who answered that question

The following percent of teachers described their satisfaction with MSLN as:

Completely Satisfied 48.2% (123) Somewhat Satisfied 40.4% (103) Somewhat Dissatisfied 5.5% (14) Completely Dissatisfied 0.4% (1) Don't Know 5.5% (14) total=279 - 24 blanks=base of 255

The following average numbers were reported as using MSLN Internet connection on a daily basis:

Students 29.9 Teachers 41.0 Administrators 53.4 Others 18.1

The following applications were reported as being used, on the listed number of computers:

E-mail 3377
World Wide Web 3661
Data Sharing 1027
FTP 435
Other 111

The following %s were reported as using the network applications:

Math 32.6% (94)

History 44.1% (123)

 Social Studies
 63.4% (177)

 Remedial
 24.0% (67)

 English
 42.7% (119)

 Science
 60.2% (168)

 Gifted
 21.5% (60)

 Other
 32.6% (91)

base of 279

Teachers reported they spend 9.6% of their teaching time on MSLN with students.

The following numbers were reported as receiving training on use of MSLN Internet connection

Principal	88
Other school administrative staff	82
Technical Coordinator	145
Teachers	1148
Others	35
No one	13
Don't Know	24

The following percentage answered whether training was sufficient to teach others

Yes 68.4% (171) No 11.6% (29) Did not receive 20.0% (50) total=279 - 29 blanks=base of 250

The following number of teachers reported future plans for MSLN as

 Same
 27

 More
 228

 Less
 2

 blank
 22

The following percentages reported their view of the importance of MSLN in the enhancement of their school's future curriculum

Very important	65.6%	(164)
Somewhat Important	31.6%	(79)
Neither important nor unimportant	2.4%	(6)
Somewhat unimportant	0.4%	(1)
Not at all important	0.0%	(0)
1 070 00 11 1 1 (050		

total=279-29 blanks=base of 250

1997 MSLN Survey Results

ALTERNATIVE EQUIVALENT VALUE SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE

as of 3/16/98

with 47 questionnaires returned from 91 sent (51.6%)

all averages are of the number who answered that question all percentages are of the number who answered that question

The ratio of students to computers available for student use is reported as 17,533 students to 2523 computers = 6.9 to 1

The following percent of respondents described their satisfaction with their Internet connection as:

47.8%	(22)
45.7%	(21)
4.3%	(2)
0%	(0)
2.2%	(1)
	4.3% 0%

total=47 - 1 blank=base of 46

Libraries reported the following connections to the Internet:

Cable Modem	28
Frame Relay	0
T1	12
ISDN	1
Other	4

1925 computers are reported as capable of Internet access, an average of 41.8.

870 computers are reported as connected to the Internet prior to their AEV connection, an average of 22.9.

1755 computers are reported as currrently connected to the Internet, an average of 38.2.

2595 computers are planned for an Internet connection by 2000, an average of 72.1.

509 classrooms are reported currently connected to the Internet, an average of 11.9.

840 classrooms are planned for an Internet connection by 2000, an average of 22.1.

The following average numbers were reported as using the Internet connection on a daily basis:

Students	28.2
Teachers	40.5
Administrators	62.6
Others	30.2

The following numbers were reported as receiving training through MSLN on use of their Internet connection

Principal 1
Other school administrative staff 5
Technical Coordinator 11
Teachers 102
Others 3
No one 14

The following percentage answered whether training was sufficient to teach others

Yes 52.5% (21)
No 15.0% (6)
Did not receive 32.5% (13)
total=47 - 7 blanks=base of 40

The following applications were reported as being used, on the listed number of computers:

E-mail 1457 World Wide Web 1518 Data Sharing 778 FTP 308 Other 65

The following %s were reported as using the network applications:

Math 42.5% (20) History 46.8% (22) Social Studies 59.6% (28) Remedial 31.9% (15) **English** 44.7% (21) Science 53.2% (25) Gifted 27.7% (13) Other 27.7% (13)

The following percentages reported their view of the importance of the Internet connection in the pl's future curriculum

Very important 68.9% (31)Somewhat Important 28.9% (13)Neither important nor unimportant 2.2% (1) Somewhat unimportant 0% (0)Not at all important 0% (0)total=47 - 2 blanks=base of 45

The following were perceived as the greatest challenge to providing effective access to MSLN (in order of numbers reported).

a-Training teachers	36
h-Acquiring additional computers	16
f-Curriculum development	14
1-Funding concerns after 2000	14
g-Computers accessible in every classroom	12
j-Time constraints preventing learning technology	12
e-Lack of technical personnel	9
b-Training students	7
c-Computer security	5
i-Internet access policy issues	5
d-Managing local area network	4
k-Upgrading to recent versions of Internet Software	1

1997 MSLN Survey

Results

HEAD LIBRARIAN QUESTIONNAIRE

as of 3/16/98

with 209 questionnaires returned from 277 sent (75.5%)

all averages are of the number who answered that question all percentages are of the number who answered that question

Head librarians reported that libraries are open a total of 6324 hours per week, at an average of 30.9 hours.

The MSLN Internet connection is used an average of 20.4 hours per week, or 66.1% of the time that the libraries are open.

The following percent of head librarians described their satisfaction with MSLN as:

Completely Satisfied	56.1%	(105)
Somewhat Satisfied	34.8%	(65)
Somewhat Dissatisfied	2.1%	(4)
Completely Dissatisfied	0.5%	(1)
Don't Know	6.4%	(12)
total=200 22 $blanks=b$	asa of 18'	7

total=209 - 22 blanks=base of 18/

The following percent of head librarians described how MSLN has improved their ability to answer reference questions as:

Completely	6.4%	(12)
Very Much	50.8%	(95)
Somewhat	35.3%	(66)
Not at all	2.1%	(4)
Don't Know	5.3%	(10)
total=209 - 22 bla	nks=base of	187

85 computers are reported as connected to the Internet prior to MSLN, an average of 0.43.

492 computers are reported as currrently connected to the Internet, an average of 2.5.

906 computers are planned for an Internet connection by 2000, an average of 5.2.

The following age groups were reported (in total and average per library) using the Internet daily:

<u>Total</u>	<u>Average</u>
1017	6.3
159	1.6
354	3.0
456	3.3
1504	8.8
1173	8.4
551	3.6
216	2.2
115	1.5
	1017 159 354 456 1504 1173 551 216

Head librarians reported that their computers were used for network applications an average of 67.1% of the time, and for local applications an average of 32.1% of the time.

The following applications were reported as being used, on the number of computers indicated.

E-mail	360
World Wide Web	459
Data sharing	146
FTP	49
news group	39
Z39.50	21
other	62

The following averages of time were reported for staff use of MSLN:

Answer reference questions	35.2
MaineCat network	18.2
URSUS	16.4
training/self orientation	20.6
tech support	6.3
gen support of library ops	19.1
other	12.4

These numbers of head librarians reported the noted change in library use resulting from MSLN

Increase in use	126
No change	61
Decrease in use	0
blank	22

The following numbers were reported as receiving training on use of MSLN Internet connection

Head librarian	124
Other library paidstaff	127
Technical Coordinator	79
Library volunteers	106
Others	13
No one	18
Don't Know	1

The following numbers answered whether training was sufficient to teach others

Yes	116
No	35
Did not receive	31
blank	27

The following number of head librarians reported future plans for MSLN as

Same	54
More	134
Less	0
blank	21

The following elements of the MSLN received the listed ratings by the noted percentage of people answering this part of the question.

total=209-34 blanks=	base o	f 175
----------------------	--------	-------

total=209-34 bi	lanks	=base of 175		
software easy		cellent	21.7%	(38)
	Sa	tisfactory	44.0%	(77)
	Fa	ir	14.9%	(26)
	Ur	nsatisfactory	3.4%	(6)
-	Do	on't Know	16.0%	(28)
total=209-37 bi	lanks	s=base of 172		
documentation	clear	Excellent	16.9	% (29)
		Satisfactory	43.0	(74)
		Fair	20.3	(35)
		Unsatisfactory	5.2	(9)
		Don't Know	14.5	<u>5% (25)</u>
total=209-39 bi	lanks	=base of 170		
software perform	med	Excellent	29.4	, ,
		Satisfactory	57.0)% (97)
		Fair	5.9	% (10)
		Unsatisfactory	1.8	3% (3)
		Don't Know	5.9	% (10)
total=209-34 bi	lanks	s=base of 175		
system downtin	ne	Excellent	41.1	% (72)
		Satisfactory	47.4	·% (83)
		Fair	7.4	·% (13)
		Unsatisfactory	1.7	(3)
		Don't Know	2.3	<u>5% (4)</u>
total=209-29 bi	lanks	=base of 180		
easy access	Ex	cellent	57.8%	(104)
		tisfactory	34.4%	(62)
	Fa		3.9%	(7)
		nsatisfactory	2.8%	(5)
		on't Know	1.1%	(2)
total=209-43 bi		*		
staff helpful		cellent	46.4%	(77)
	Sa	tisfactory	34.3%	(57)
	Fa	ir	6.6%	(11)
		nsatisfactory	2.4%	(4)
		on't Know	10.2%	(17)
total=209-33 bi				
speed		cellent	36.4%	(64)
		tisfactory	40.3%	(71)
	Fa		16.5%	(29)
		nsatisfactory	3.4%	(6)
	Do	on't Know	3.4%	(6)

116 head librarians reported calling for tech support and 83.6% of them (97) said they were satisfied.

52 head librarians (24.9%) reported problems using MSLN since its installation.

The following were perceived as the greatest challenge to providing effective access to MSLN (in order of numbers reported).

m-Funding concerns after 2000	108
g-Instructing public	72
j-Time constraints preventing learning technology	65
a-Training staff	64
h-Acquiring additional computers	52
b-Promoting service locally	51
c-Computer security	32
e-More demand than can satisfy	26
f-Getting/keeping technical personnel	26
i-Internet access policy issues	22
k-General public confusion	21
d-Managing local area network	13
1-Upgrading to recent versions of Internet Software	6

26 head librarians said yes, they have special programs regarding MSLN for patrons.

1997 MSLN Survey Results

ALTERNATIVE EQUIVALENT VALUE HEAD LIBRARIAN QUESTIONNAIRE

as of 3/16/98

with 8 questionnaires returned from 23 sent (34.8%)

all averages are of the number who answered that question all percentages are of the number who answered that question

Head librarians reported libraries open an average of 62.5 hours per week.

Libraries reported the following connections to the Internet:

Cable Modem	2
Frame Relay	0
T1	3
ISDN	1
Voice grade line via modem	0
Other	1
blank	1

The MSLN Internet connection is used an average of 46.2 hours per week, or 73.9% of the time reported in the first question above.

The following percent of head librarians described their satisfaction with their Internet connection as:

Completely Satisfied	28.6%	(2)
Somewhat Satisfied	71.4%	(5)
Somewhat Dissatisfied	0%	(0)
Completely Dissatisfied	0%	(0)
Don't Know	0%	(0)

total=8 -1 blank=base of 7

The following preent of head librarians described how the Internet connection has improved their ability to answer reference questions as:

Completely	0%	(0)
Very Much	42.9%	(3)
Somewhat	42.9%	(3)
Not at all	0%	(0)
Don't Know	14.3%	(1)
total=8 -1 blank=base	e of 7	

25 computers are reported connected to the Internet prior to the AEV connection, an average of 5 per library.

81 computers are reported as currrently connected to the Internet, an average of 11.6.

129 computers are planned for an Internet connection by 2000, an average of 18.4.

The following age groups were reported (in total and average) using the Internet daily:

<u>Group</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Average</u>
Total children	34	6.8
children 3 to 10	5	1.6
children 11 to 13	11	2.8
children 14 to 18	18	6
Total adults	74	14.8
adults under 35	45	11.3
adults 35 to 54	14	3.5
adults 55 to 64	10	2.5
adults 65 or older	5	1.7

Head librarians reported that their computers were used for network applications an average of 71% of the time, and for local applications an average of 36.25% of the time.

The following applications were reported as being used, on the number of computers indicated.

E-mail	46
World Wide Web	46
Data sharing	19
FTP	21
news group	18
Z39.50	24
other	0

The following averages of time were reported for staff use of the Internet:

Answer reference questions	24
MaineCat network	5
URSUS	46.7
training/self orientation	30
tech support	12.5
gen support of library ops	18
other	5

These numbers of head librarians reported the noted change in library use resulting from their Internet connection:

Increase in use	6
No change	0
Decrease in use	1

The following numbers were reported as receiving training through MSLN on use of

their	Internet of	connection
uicii	IIIICI IICI V	

Head librarian	3
Other library paidtaff	4
Technical Coordinator	1
Library volunteers	1
Others	0
No one	2
Don't Know	0

The following numbers answered whether training was sufficient to teach others

Yes	2
No	1
Did not receive	1
blank	4

The following number of head librarians reported future plans for the Internet as

Same	0
More	7
Less	0
blank	1

The following were perceived as the greatest challenge to providing effective access to the Internet (in order of numbers reported).

order of hamoers reported).	
h-Acquiring additional computers	4
m-Funding concerns after 2000	4
a-Training staff	3
c-Computer security	3
j-Time constraints preventing learning technology	3
f-Getting/keeping technical personnel	2
b-Promoting service locally	1
d-Managing local area network	1
e-More demand than can satisfy	1
i-Internet access policy issues	1
1-Upgrading to recent versions of Internet Software	1
g-Instructing public	0
k-General public confusion	0

² head librarians said yes, they have special programs regarding the Internet for patrons.

"If you plan to use (MSLN/Internet connection) more than you are currently, what do you plan to use it for?"

pian	to use it for:	Prin T	ech T	eacher l	Libr'n	AEV Lib	TOTAL
				(195)	(101)	(7)	TOTAL
1	more computers	12	31	10	7	0	60
2	more Internet	22	30	20	14	1	87
2b	negative re Internet	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	more e-mail, Pen Pals	24	32	26	7	0	89
4	First Class	1	2	0	0	0	3
5	more teacher use	11	6	11	0	0	28
6	more classroom use/	34	21	24	1	0	80
	instruction/implementation						
7	administrative use	9	1	0	0	0	10
8	just more use	18	14	15	12	1	60
9	research	36	23	31	10	0	100
10	more student use	26	14	18	1	1	60
11	curriculum based	26	23	22	0	0	71
	instruction/development						
12	Intranet	1	2	0	0	0	3
13	library mgmt/automation/connection	1	4	2	1	0	8
14	group projectsother schools	6	6	10	0	0	22
15	network classrooms/LAN	8	9	4	0	0	21
16	Web page	4	10	12	4	2	32
17	"See-U, See-Me"	2	3	2	0	0	7
18	adult educ/parent use	3	9	3	1	0	16
19	URSUS	0	2	0	4	1	7
20	multimedia(scanner, smart board)	2	4	1	1	0	8
21	ProQuest subscription	0	1	0	0	0	1
22	FTP	0	2	0	1	0	3
23	training /internal & external	8	4	7	12	0	31
24	patrons	0	0	0	11	0	11
25	MaineCat	0	0	0	9	0	9
26	Interlib loan	0	0	0	9	0	9
27	staff use	1	0	0	3	0	4
28	communic w other libraries	0	0	0	4	1	5
20	reference	0	0	0	11	1	12
30	card catalog	0	0	0	6	0	6
31	expand hours	0	0	0	2	0	2
32	Maine Info Net	0	0	0	4	0	4
33	word processing	0	0	0	3	0	3
34	funding/grant info/govt info	1	2	0	2	0	5
35	newsgroups	0	0	0	1	0	1
36	teacher contacts	0	0	1	0	0	1
37	special education classes	1	0	0	0	0	1

Typical Responses

"If you plan to use (MSLN/Internet connection) more than you are currently, what do you plan to use it for?"

- We are getting 5 additional computers for classroom use. adding more public access computers.
 - Same applications, just more machines will be added to network.
- 2 Internet access, WWW, more hours on Internet
- 2b Internet inaccessible through MSLN. Plan to use other avenues for more or better use & access
- more e-mail, more Pen Pals, all staff on line for e-mail, keypals
- 4 adding FirstClass so e-mail use should go up, FirstClass connection to DOE
- increased access and training for teachers who will determine applications. all teachers and students will learn to use it. expand use among teachers as a teaching tool
- 6 make more available for classroom use, all classes, student use in classrooms
- 7 administrative office DOE, more staff use, networking office with each classroom.
- 8 more people involved, additional access, increased time of same applications
- 9 research for specific classes, research for reports on various subject areas
- I hope to expand student use, students need more time, higher degree of student participation.
- whenever and wherever it can be integrated into curriculum, curriculum development
- 12 Intranet
- State wide Library connections, hopefully more teachers will bring their students to the library to make use of the WWW.
- interactive class projects with other schools, exploring partnering with other schools for joint projects and learning opportunities
- plan to expand building network and wire classrooms, set up LAN
- add website, homepage, school directory web site, web page design
- 17 See-U, See-Me
- community training, adult education, involve community, we intend to offer more Internet related classes for parents, & hopefully, parents & children.
- 19 URSUS
- 20 multi-media encyclopedia, smart board, scanner
- 21 ProQuest subscription
- 22 FTP
- local search strategy classes, more Internet training for staff, volunteers & patrons, more training
- 24 more patron use, additional user access
- 25 MaineCat
- 26 Interlibrary loan, ILLS
- greater use by staff
- 28 networking with other librarians
- 29 reference
- 30 to automate and replace card catalog
- 31 open library more late afternoons and evenings for school kids
- 32 Maine Info Net
- word processing
- 34 State library funding and grant info
- 35 newsgroups
- develop teacher contacts with others on similar projects
- 37 special education classes

1997 Survey Results as of 3/16/1998

"What changes would you suggest in the training?"

	Pi	rin Te (77)	ch Tea (111)	cher Lib			Scl TT	L
1	spend more time	11	6	15	6	0	0	38
2	offer again(turnover/more staff)	7	8	15	4	0	0	34
	"more training"	9	8	7	8	1	2	35
4	smaller segments	3	2	2	3	0	0	10
3 4 5	on-going	4	0	1	3	0	1	9
6	more depth	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7	different skill levels	2	4	3	4	1	0	14
8	classroom integration/curricula specific	2	2	8	0	0	2	14
9	use same computer/software as client	2	5	2	0	0	0	9
10	technical problems during training	2	3	1	1	0	1	8
11	review/refresher	2	0	5	3	0	0	10
12	follow-up(questions, new info)	7	15	14	7	0	2	45
13	summer	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
14	local	5	1	1	10	0	1	18
15	slow down	1	2	2	0	0	0	5
16	within school day	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
17	telephone walk-thru	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
18	Web page	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
19	computer too slow	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
20	positive comment	4	9	12	4	0	1	30
21	more basic	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
22	need-driven	1	1	0	1	1	0	4
23	send us videos	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
24	technical/advanced	0	11	2	5	0	0	18
25	train after/closer to hookup	0	7	0	4	0	0	11
26	Windows	0	1	0	3	0	0	4
27	less Internet	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
27A	more Internet	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
28	more e-mail help	1	4	0	1	0	0	6
29	trouble shooting/problem solving	0	4	4	1	0	0	9
30	both Mac and PC	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
31	Saturday	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
32	updates on Web	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
33	search strategies	0	0	3	7	0	0	10
34	less technical	1	2	2	13	0	0	18
35	better manual/handouts	0	2	1	2	0	0	5
36	mandatory training for teachers/ more teachers	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
37	hands on	0	0	2	0	0	3	5

Typical Responses

"What changes would you suggest in the training?"

- 1 more time, more practice, more than 1 day
- 2 making it accessible to teachers
 - schedule day for new people in tech coordinator positions
 - periodic training for new coordinators who come in as replacements
- 3 additional training, more of it, more training
- 4 break it down into small pieces and do a little at a time.
 - one specific segment per day, not all together like it was
- 5 needs to be done on an ongoing basis
- 6 got an overview & some practice time only, more extensive training program was needed.
- 7 establish level training based upon experience level of participant
- 8 practical methods on how to apply it to the classroom.
 - now we need how to incorporate into curriculum
- 9 if, at training time, certain software is to be used in school, training should be given on that, not programs which may never be used.
 - Use Mac computers since that is what our school uses
- for technical coordinator there should be actual connecting to the Net
 - The day we trained we had quite a few computer glitches that hindered our progress.
- need a refresher, periodic updates and reviews,
 - need training to take place again after a few months of use so questions can be answered.
- follow-up after initial training, more follow up sessions so you can go back
- 13 summer
- more one on one, in-house, on-site
- training was too rushed for a beginner
- offered again on workshop days specifically devoted to this training
- walk through process on telephone, FAQS too confusing & time consuming since set up for noncomputer majors and position unpaid.
- more info about setting up Web pages at our site
- 19 faster capability of getting from one search to another--computer is slow
- Wayne Garthwait was super!
 - training was good and trainer was excellent
 - training was excellent as is
- 21 more basic training. It was way over my head when I received training.
- more needs driven
- videos to send to schools
- 24 more instruction regarding network design and wiring, more help in setting up server
- was before school hooked up, couldn't use, train, apply what we learned actually when you are hooked up, then you should receive training
- 26 teach Windows software, much of our issues are related to learning Windows first.
- 27 less time to explore Internet
- 27A more hands on regarding the www. there was too much emphasis on email and different platforms.
- 28 more time spend on using email for students
- trouble shooting/problem solving
- 30 Got Mac training but have both Mac & IBM clones. Would have been good to train on both.
- a 2 hour refresher class offered on Saturdays
- 32 updated info on the web
- more emphasis on search strategies
- do not know the "lingo" (what abbreviations mean etc.) Have trainers realize this.
- much more detailed portions of the installation portion in writing.
- more teachers to participate; make training mandatory for teachers
- 37 hands on training

1997 Survey Results as of 3/16/1998

"Have there been any problems in using the MSLN since its installation?"

		Tech Coord(97)	Librarian (53)	TOTAL
1	MSLN changes caused problems	6	0	6
2	downtime	4	1	5
3	slow speed	12	7	19
4	multiple user problems	4	2	6
5	lack of training	1	1	2
6	lack of hardware/software	4	0	4
7	crashes	1	0	1
8	e-mail problems	16	15	31
9	compatibility	1	1	2
10	local problems	14	9	23
11	freeze/lock up	8	0	8
12	error messages	4	1	5
13	online access	7	5	12
14	positive comment	2	0	2
15	phoneline	5	0	5
16	FRAD	2	3	5
17	installation	0	1	1
18	set-up	0	2	2

Typical Responses "Have there been any problems in using the MSLN since its installation?"

1	changed IP addresses without notifying me
	changes at MSLNs end in email server temporarily clobbered our email
	domain name server down or adjustments made that put us down
2	downtime on Intranet & Email downtime
	downtime of MSLN server
3	frustrated with lack of speed especially with multiple users
	very slow in p.m.
	running slower
4	I can't get 3 computers to get on the WWW
5	being new to system without training
6	I don't have any Window 3.1 computer on line
	old/slow computers
7	Netscape crashes
8	some e-mail accounts on FirstClass can't send/receive outside FC system
	e-mail Ids
0	Netscape/e-mail problem which was solved by MSLN staff
9	making it compatible with my router and other Internet connection
10	local problems, adapters, hub failure, student coursed configuration changes
11	our modems "lock up"
10	freezing computer while on Internet
12	disk error 36
10	we were getting a lot of "broken pipe" messages while using Netscape
13	access to Internet is very slow or impossible at times
1.4	very slow access during school year, have been occasions where we could not get on-line at all.
14	connection was lost due to cables being knocked out-MSLN called us before we noticed.
15	phoneline problems
16	FRAD connection problem, once, rectified very promptly
17	once when a FRAD was reconfigured and did not work
	at first, jacks improperly inserted
18	set up problems, due to COMPAQ brand computers, lag time etc. now pretty much resolved.

1997 Survey Results as of 3/16/1998

"Please use this space for any additional comments."

		Prin T	ech Tea	acher Lib	r'n AEV	Lib AE	V Scl T	ΓL
		(84)	128) (1	20) (1	02) (5) (9)	•
1	blocking software	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	more training	6	11	8	11	0	0	36
3	more speed/or perception	6	20	16	4	0	0	46
4	positive comment	22	47	24	24	1	0	118
5	FOLLOW UP NEEDED	13	12	14	19	1	1	60
6	need computers	6	1	4	2	1	0	14
7	current funding	8	2	3	4	0	0	17
8	internal tech support/	7	2	3	3	0	0	15
	internal problems							
9	time to use it/to train	7	0	5	4	0	0	16
10	access to computers	2	1	4	0	0	1	8
11	funding after 2000	4	4	3	4	0	0	15
12	negative comments	0	9	2	5	0	0	16
13	no tech coordinator	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
14	use for professional work/growth	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
	0	I	i .	i .	l		i .	l

Typical Responses

"Please use this space for any additional comments."

- We have not yet found 'blocking' software which we feel adequately protects (or limits) students access to inappropriate material.
- We need training at this school
 - teachers need training on ways to use it with their present curriculums
 - I would be interested in training. I was not the tech coordinator when it was offered.
- 3 It can be slow when many students are using it.
 - 56k FRAD is a good beginning, but as use increases, the system slows
 - the public wants faster and faster machines
- In this time of tight budgets, we have appreciated MSLN and the training
 - The MSLN has been a great opportunity for schools in Maine. It has driven the development of computer technology acquisition and use.
 - I cannot begin to tell you how helpful & patient the staff of MSLN is when I have called for help. They are to be commended. I have never received technical support of this high quality before. Thank you!
- 5 Not in regular use yet.
 - We have no technical coordinator this year. .Have not been connected to the MSLN connection provided by NYNEX.
 - We are still in the process of getting our Internet connection to work.
- We need computers. It is difficult to train teachers when they do not have computers in their rooms.
 - Our building is completely wired. We just need the hardware.
- 7 The concern is the cost of maintaining, upgrading, & using the system & the computers. Communities cannot afford additional financial burdens.
 - Time and \$ override all other issues.
- 8 We need a part time of full time technology person.
 - The lack of knowledgeable computer people in-house is a real problem for us!
- We do not have enough staff development time to offer enough opportunities to teachers to learn about the MSLN connection.
 - Time is limited, each class has only so much library time therefore it has been difficult to involve the Internet into our classroom.
- 10 Currently our network hook-up is in a small room that is not sufficiently big enough for an entire class to use.
 - Computers are not available in classrooms. The one computer which is 'hooked up' is in the lib.-Not available to teachers because classes are there for lib. service.
- After the NYNEX program ends, where will the funding come from for Internet?
- My own lack of experience may be part of the problem, but the complete lack of internal consistency or evaluation of material makes 'surfing' nothing but time consuming and frustrating. Mostly what you get is stuff that's just like a book--text, still pictures-anyway.
 - Tech support needs to be better informed because I have called for help & was told my wiring was bad when indeed it was a problem with the FRAD.
 - E-mail set up took longer than expected due to a problem in setup at MSLN end. Staff was helpful eventually in solving problem.
- No Technical coordinator here.
- Depend on this in my professional growth and class preparation.

PRINCIPAL QUESTIONNAIRE

We are seeking information on your experiences and opinions related to the Maine School and Library Network (MSLN). The Network provided you with a connection via NYNEX or your local independent telephone company to the Internet during the past year.

	Address City	
1. H	How many students are enrolled in this school?	
2. V	What grades are included in this school? [] K-6 [] K-8 [] K-12 [] 6-8 [] 7-8 [] 7-9 [] 7-12 [] 9-12 [] Other (Specify)	
3. H	How often have you, yourself, used the system since the MSLN connection was installed? Hours per	week
4.	1 1	Don't Know
5.	How many computers do you currently have in this school available for student use?	
6.	How many computers do you currently have in this school capable of Internet access?	
7.	How many computers did you have connected to the Internet <i>prior</i> to the MSLN connection?	
8.	How many computers do you <i>currently</i> have connected to the Internet?	
9.	How many computers do you plan to have connected to the Internet by the year 2000?	
10.	Does this school have a computer lab? Yes [No []	
11.	How many <u>classrooms</u> do you have in your school?	
12.	How many <u>classrooms</u> are currently connected to the Internet?	
13.	How many <u>classrooms</u> do you plan to have connected to the Internet by the year 2000?	
14.	On a daily basis, what percent of the following are using the MSLN Internet connection in your school? Students Teachers Administrators Others	
15.	Have you or others in your school received training on use of the MSLN Internet connection? (Check all that apply) [] Principal [] Other school administrative staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know (Please be sure to complete the other side)	
16.	If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN?	

	[] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training
17.	What changes would you suggest in the training?
18.	Does your school plan to continue using the MSLN as much as you are currently using it or do you plan to use it mor or less? [] Same [] More [] Less
19.	If you plan to use it more, what additional applications, classes, or programs do you plan to use it for?
20.	Please rate the importance of the MSLN in the enhancement of your school's future curriculum. [] Very important [] Somewhat important [] Neither important nor unimportant [] Somewhat unimportant [] Not at all important
21.	What do you perceive as the greatest challenge to providing effective teacher/student access to the MSLN? (Check the 3 that are most important)
	[] Training teachers [] Computers accessible in every classroom [] Training students [] Acquiring additional computers [] Computer security [] Internet access policy issues [] Managing local area network [] Time constraints are preventing learning technology [] Lack of technical personnel [] Upgrading to recent versions of Internet Software [] Curriculum development [] Funding concerns after the year 2000
22.	Please use this space for any additional comments or feedback you may want to provide.

Thank you for your time and participation. Please ask the technical coordinator and involved teacher to complete their questionnaires and return them by November 3, 1997.

TECHNICAL COORDINATOR QUESTIONNAIRE

We are seeking information on your experiences and opinions related to the Maine School and Library Network (MSLN). The MSLN provided your school with a connection via NYNEX or your local independent telephone company to the Internet during the past year. This questionnaire is intended to be completed by the individual who is the most involved in diagnosing and/or solving technical difficulties with the computers and networks in the school.

School Name

		Address City				
1.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the MSLN?	•	Satisfied		Completely Dissatisfied	Don't Know []
2.	On a daily basis, what percent of the following a Students Admin				in this school?	
3. H	low many computers do you currently have in this	s school availa	ble for stude	nt use?	-	
4.	How many computers do you currently have in t	this school cap	able of Interr	net access?		
5.	How many computers did you have connected to	o the Internet p	rior to the M	ISLN connect	ion?	
6.	How many computers do you currently have cor	nnected to the I	nternet?			
7.	Does this school have a computer lab? Yes []	No []				
8. A	re the computers in this school connected via a L [] LAN [] Alternative					
9.	E-mail World Wide Web Data Sharing FTP Other (specify) Have you or others in this school received the or (Check all that apply) [] Principal [] Other school administrative staff - If chec [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many.	Being Used [] [] [] [] [] [] ne day technica	number of computers	n <u>per c</u>	umber of hours	<u>eek</u>
	[] Others [] No one [] Don't Know					
11.	If you received training, was it sufficient to enable Yes No Did not receive training	ole you to set u	p your site t	o utilize the N	MSLN connection	on?
12.	What changes would you suggest in the training	?				

13.	Does your school plan to continue using the lit more or less? [] Same [] More [] Less	MSLN as mu	ch as you ar	e currently	y using it or o	lo you plan to us
14.	If you plan to use it more, what additional ap	plications, cl	asses, or pro	ograms do	you plan to ι	ase it for?
15.	Thinking about your use of the MSLN, how	•	te it on each Satis-	of the fol	lowing eleme Unsatis-	ents? Don't
	The software was easy to install The documentation was clear and useful The software performed as expected The system operates without much downtime We are able to easily access the Internet The Project staff was helpful when I needed help Speed of connection	Excellent [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	factory [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Fair [] [] [] [] [] [] []	factory [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Know [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []
16.	Have you had to call for additional MSLN te [] Yes If yes, were you satisfied wi [] No					No
17.	Have there been any problems in using the Market Market Problems?					
18.	Please use this space for any additional comm	nents or feed	back you ma	ay want to	provide.	

Thank you for your time and participation. Please return this survey by November 3, 1997.

TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE

We are seeking information on your experiences and opinions related to the Maine School and Library Network (MSLN). The MSLN provided your school with a connection via NYNEX or your local independent telephone company to the Internet during the past year. This questionnaire is to be completed by a teacher who uses computers and the Maine Schools and Libraries Network service regularly.

School Name

1. Overall, how satisfied are you with the MSLN? Completely Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Dissatisfied King I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			City				
week 3. On a daily basis, what percent of the following are using the MSLN connection in your school? Students Teachers Administrators Others 4. Which of the following types of network applications are being used, and about how much?	1.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the MSLN?	Completely Satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Students Teachers Administrators Others	2.		ince the MSLI	N connection	was installed	l?Hours	per
Being number of number of hours Used computers E-mail World Wide Web Data Sharing FTP Data Sharing FTP Dother (specify) Social Studies Data Sharing Defined Social Studies Defined Social Studies Defined Defined Social Studies Defined Def	3.					chool?	
E-mail World Wide Web Data Sharing FTP Other (specify) [] Stand The following types of classes or programs are using the network applications? (Check all that apply) [] Math [] History [] Social Studies [] Remedial [] Other (please write in subject/program names) 6. What percentage of your teaching time is spent on the MSLN with students?% 7. Have you or others in your school received training on use of the MSLN? (Check all that apply) [] Principal [] Other school administrative staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training	4.	В	Being	number of	n	umber of hour	
World Wide Web		-		<u>voinpaters</u>	<u>por (</u>	ompater per .	
Data Sharing FTP Other (specify) []							
FTP Other (specify) []							
Other (specify) []							
(Check all that apply) [] Math							
7. Have you or others in your school received training on use of the MSLN? (Check all that apply) [] Principal [] Other school administrative staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training		[] Math[] History[] Social Studies[] Remedial	mes)	[] Scien	ce		
[] Principal [] Other school administrative staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training	6. V	What percentage of your teaching time is spent on	the MSLN wit	th students?	%		
[] Other school administrative staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training	7.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ing on use of t	he MSLN? (Check all tha	t apply)	
[] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training			ked, how man	y			
[] Others [] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training				•			
[] No one [] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training		[] Teachers - If checked, how many					
[] Don't Know 8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training							
8. If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN connection? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training							
[] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training		[] Don't Know					
[] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training	8. I:	you received training, was it sufficient to enable	vou to teach o	thers to utili	ze the MSLN	connection?	
Did not receive training		•	•				
		[] No					
9. What changes would you suggest in the training?		[] Did not receive training					
	9. V	What changes would you suggest in the training?					

10. Does your school plan to continue using the MSLN as much as you are currently using it or do you plan to use

	it mor	e or less?
	[]	Same
	[]	More
	[]	Less
11.	If you	plan to use it more, what additional applications, classes, or programs do you plan to use it for?
12.	Please [] [] [] []	rate the importance of the MSLN in the enhancement of your school's future curriculum. Very important Somewhat important Neither important nor unimportant Somewhat unimportant Not at all important
13.	Please	use this space for any additional comments or feedback you may want to provide.

Thank you for your time and participation. Please return this survey by November 3, 1997.

HEAD LIBRARIAN QUESTIONNAIRE

Library Name Address

	•4
•	111

1.	How many nours per week is your library open	to the public?				
2.	How many patrons visit your library per week?					
3.	How many hours per week is the MSLN Internet	et connection u	ised?			
4.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the MSLN?	Completely Satisfied		Somewhat Dissatisfied		Don't Know
5. H	Iow has the MSLN improved your library's abilit [] Completely [] Very much [] Somewhat [] Not at all [] Don't Know	ty to answer re	ference quest	ions?		
6.	How many computers did you have connected t	to the Internet p	prior to the M	ISLN connect	ion?	
7.	How many computers do you currently have co	nnected to the	Internet?	<u> </u>		
8.	How many computers do you plan to have conn	nected to the In	ternet by the	year 2000?		
9.	Are the computers in your library connected to [] LAN [] Alternative (please specific places)		e other altern	ative method?	·	
10.	On a daily basis, about how many of the follows	ing types of pe	ople are using	g the Internet	in your library'	?
11.	How many children in total Children 3 to 10 (estimate) Children 11 to 13 (estimate) Children 14 to 18 (estimate) How many adults (general public) Adults under 35 (estimate) Adults 35 to 54 (estimate) Adults 55 to 64 (estimate) Adults 65 or older (estimate) What percent of the time are the computers in yetc.), compared to local applications (word processing to the state of the sta				(e-mail, Intern	et,
12.		ations are bein Being	g used, and a number of	bout how muc n	ch? number of hours	
	E-mail World Wide Web Data Sharing FTP News group access Z39.50 client use Other (specify)	Used [] [] [] [] [] [] []	computers	<u>per c</u>	computer per w	<u>eek</u>

13. What percentage of the time which staff uses for MSLN, is used for the following purposes?

	Answer reference questions%
	MaineCat Network %
	URSUS access %
	Training and self-orientation%
	Tech support for computer%
	hardware or software
	General support of library%
	operations
	Other %
	/v
14.	Has the connection of the library to the Internet resulted in an increase, no change, or decrease in the use of the library? [] increase in use [] no change [] decrease in use
15.	Have you or others in your library received training on use of the MSLN? (Check all that apply) [] Head Librarian [] Other library paid staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Library volunteers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know
16.	If you received training, was it sufficient to enable you to teach others to utilize the MSLN? [] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training
17.	What changes would you suggest in the training?
18.	Do you plan to continue using the MSLN as much as you are currently using it or do you plan to use it more or less? [] Same [] More [] Less
19.	If you plan to use it more, what additional applications, services, or programs do you plan to use it for?
20.	Thinking about your use of the MSLN, how would you rate it on each of the following elements? Satis- Excellent factory Fair factory Know
	The software was easy to install [] [] [] [] [] The documentation was clear and useful [] [] [] [] [] [] [] The software performed as expected [] [] [] [] [] [] [] The system operates without much downtime [] [] [] [] [] [] [] We are able to easily access the Internet [] [] [] [] [] [] The Project staff was helpful when I needed help [] [] [] [] [] [] Speed of connection
21.	Have you had to call for additional technical support since the installation? [] Yes

22.	Have there been any problems in using the MSLN since its installation?					
	[]	Yes What problems?				
	[]	No				
23	What	do you perceive as the greatest challer	age to providing effective public access to the MSLN?			
23.	What do you perceive as the greatest challenge to providing effective public access to the MSLN? (Check the 3 that are most important)					
	(Check the 5 that we most important)					
	[]	Training staff	[] Instructing public in MSLN use			
	[]	Promoting service locally	[] Acquiring additional computers			
	[]	Computer security	[] Internet access policy issues			
	[]	Managing local area network	[] Time constraints are preventing learning technology			
	[]	More public demand than the	[] General public confusion about Internet			
		library can satisfy	[] Upgrading to recent versions of Internet Software			
	[]	Getting/keeping personnel in	[] Funding concerns after the			
		technical functions	year 2000			
24	Do w	ou have special programs regarding MS	U. N. for notrons?			
24.	Do you have special programs regarding MSLN for patrons? [] Yes					
	[]	No				
	LJ	110				
25.	Pleas	e use this space for any additional com-	ments or feedback you may want to provide.			

Thank you for your time and participation. Please return this survey by November 3, 1997.

SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE MSLN ALTERNATIVE EQUIVALENT VALUE

School Name Address

City

1. H	low many students are enrolled in this school?		
	That grades are included in this school? [] K-6		
4.	How does your school currently connect to the Interet? [] Cable Modem [] Frame Relay [] T1 [] ISDN [] Other		
5.	How many computers do you currently have in this school available for student use?		
6.	How many computers do you currently have in this school capable of Internet access?		
7.	How many computers were connected to the Internet <i>prior</i> to your AEV Internet connection?		
8.	How many computers do you <i>currently</i> have connected to the Internet?		
9.	How many computers do you plan to have connected to the Internet by the year 2000?		
10.	. Does this school have a computer lab? Yes [] No[]		
	Are the computers in your school connected to a LAN or some other alternative method? [] LAN [] Alternative (please specify type) How many <u>classrooms</u> do you have in your school?		
13.	. How many <u>classrooms</u> are currently connected to the Internet?		
14.	How many <u>classrooms</u> do you plan to have connected to the Internet by the year 2000?		
15.	On a daily basis, what percent of the following are using the Internet connection in your school? Students		
16.	Have you or others in your school received training on use of the MSLN Internet connection? (Check all that apply) [] Principal [] Other school administrative staff - If checked, how many [] Technical Coordinator [] Teachers - If checked, how many [] Others [] No one [] Don't Know		

 If you received training, was it sufficient to e Yes No Did not receive training 	enable you to	teach others to utiliz	e the Internet?
3. What changes would you suggest in the train	ning?		
9. Which of the following types of network app	Being	number of	at how much? number of hours per computer per week
E-mail World Wide Web Data Sharing FTP Other (specify)	[] [] []		
(Check all that apply) [] Math [] History [] Social Studies [] Remedial		sing the network app [] English [] Science [] Gifted	olications?
Please rate the importance of the Internet con Very important Somewhat important Neither important nor unimportant Somewhat unimportant Not at all important	nection in the	e enhancement of you	ur school's future curriculum.
2. What do you perceive as the greatest challen (Check the 3 that are most important) [] Training teachers [] Training students [] Computer security [] Managing local area network [] Lack of technical personnel [] Curriculum development	[] ([] [] [] []	Computers accessible Acquiring additional nternet access policy Fime constraints are Jpgrading to recent v	e in every classroom computers vissues preventing learning technology versions of Internet Software
3. Please use this space for any additional com	nents or feed	back you may want t	o provide.
2.	[] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training What changes would you suggest in the train Which of the following types of network app E-mail World Wide Web Data Sharing FTP Other (specify) Which of the following types of classes or pr (Check all that apply) [] Math [] History [] Social Studies [] Remedial [] Other (please write in subject/program Please rate the importance of theInternet com [] Very important [] Somewhat important [] Noi at all important What do you perceive as the greatest challen (Check the 3 that are most important) [] Training teachers [] Training students [] Computer security [] Managing local area network [] Lack of technical personnel [] Curriculum development	[] Yes [] No [] Did not receive training What changes would you suggest in the training?	Which of the following types of network applications are being used, and about the following types of network applications are being used, and about the following types of network applications are being used, and about the following types of computers to the following types of the following types of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network application of the following types of classes or programs are using the network

Thank you for your time and participation. Please complete and return by November 3, 1997. Maine School & Library Network -- 1 Davis Farm Road, Portland, Maine 04103

LIBRARY QUESTIONNAIRE

MSLN ALTERNATIVE EQUIVALENT VALUE

Library Name Address City

1.	How many hours per week is your library open to the public?		
2.	How many patrons visit your library per week?		
3.	How does your library currently connect to the Internet? [] Cable Modem [] Frame Relay [] T1 [] ISDN [] Voice grade line via modem [] Other		
4.	How many hours per week is the Internet connection used?		
5.H	low satisfied are you with your Internet connection? Completely Somewhat Somewhat Completely Don't Satisfied Satisfied Dissatisfied Know		
6. H	How has the Internet connection improved your library's ability to answer reference questions? [] Completely [] Very much [] Somewhat [] Not at all [] Don't Know		
7.	How many computers did you have connected to the Internet prior to your AEV connection?		
8.	How many computers do you currently have connected to the Internet?		
9.	How many computers do you plan to have connected to the Internet by the year 2000?		
10.	Are the computers in your library connected to a LAN or some other alternative method?		
	[] LAN [] Alternative (please specify type)		
11.	On a daily basis, about how many of the following types of people are using the Internet in your library?		
	How many children in total		
	Children 3 to 10 (estimate)		
	Children 11 to 13 (estimate)		
	Children 14 to 18 (estimate)		
	How many adults (general public)		
	Adults under 35 (estimate)		
	Adults 35 to 54 (estimate)		
	Adults 55 to 64 (estimate)		
	Adults 65 or older (estimate)		

	etc.), compared to local applications (word pro	ocessing, e	ncyclopedia, graphics,	etc.)?		
	Network Applications%		Local Application	us%		
13.	Which of the following types of network appli	ications are				
		Being	number of	number of hours		
		Used	computers	per computer per week		
	E-mail	[]				
	World Wide Web	[]				
	Data Sharing	[]				
	FTP	[]				
	News group access	[]				
	Z39.50 client use	[]				
	Other (specify)	_ []				
14.	What percentage of the time which staff uses for the Internet, is used for the following purposes?					
	Answer reference questions	_%				
	MaineCat Network	%				
	URSUS access	<u>~</u>				
	Training and self-orientation					
	Tech support for computer	_				
	hardware or software	70				
		0/				
	General support of library	_%				
	operations					
	Other	_%				
15.	Has the connection of the library to the Interne	et resulted	in an increase, no char	age, or decrease in the use of the		
	library?		[] daamaaaa :			
	[] increase in use [] no chan	ge	[] decrease in use			
16.	Have you or others in your library received tra	aining thro	ugh MSLN on use of th	ne Internet? (Check all that		
	apply)					
	[] Head Librarian					
	[] Other library paid staff - If checked, ho	w many				
	[] Technical Coordinator					
	[] Library volunteers - If checked, how m	any	-			
	Others					
	[] No one [] Don't Know					
	[] Don't Know					
17.	If you received training, was it sufficient to en	nable you t	o teach others to utilize	the Internet?		
	[] Yes	·				
	[] No					
	[] Did not receive training					
18.	What changes would you suggest in the training	ng?				
10						
19.	Do you plan to continue using the Internet connection as much as you are currently using it or do you plan to					
	use it more or less? [] Same					
	[] More					
	[] Less					
20.	If you plan to use it more, what additional app	olications, s	services, or programs d	o you plan to use it for?		

12. What percent of the time are the computers in your library used for network applications (e-mail, Internet,

21.	What do you perceive as the greatest challenge to providing effective public access to the Internet? (Check the 3 that are most important)				
	 [] Training staff [] Promoting service locally [] Computer security [] Managing local area network [] More public demand than the library can satisfy [] Getting/keeping personnel in technical functions 	 [] Instructing public in MSLN use [] Acquiring additional computers [] Internet access policy issues [] Time constraints are preventing learning technology [] General public confusion about Internet [] Upgrading to recent versions of Internet Software [] Funding concerns 			
22.	Do you have special programs regarding th [] Yes [] No	ne Internet for patrons?			
23.	Please use this space for any additional comments or feedback you may want to provide.				

Thank you for your time and participation. Please return this survey by November 3, 1997.